

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/2114 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

21 JUN 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 269 OF 2016.
(Sub:-Seniority List)
(Speaking to Minutes of Order dated 2.05.2016)

- 1 Shri. Sudhakar B. Nangnure,
R/at. I-703, Raturang, Aranyeshwar Road, Near Lokesh Hotel, Pune-09
...**APPLICANT/S**

VERSUS

- 1 The State of Maharashtra,
Through the Principal Secretary,
(UD-1), Urban Development Dept.
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32
- 2 The Addl Chief Secretary
(Services), G.A.D., Mantralaya,
Mumbai-32.
- 3 The Secretary In Charge of Desk
16-B, G.A.D., Mantralaya,
Mumbai-32.
- 4 Shri N.R. Shende, Joint
Director of Town Planning,
Konkan Division, Konkan
Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai
.....**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **16th** day of **June, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Shri A.S. Wable, P.O. holding for Shri. N.K. Rajpurohit,
C.P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 to 3.
Shri. A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.**
HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).

DATE : **16.06.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

M. Mal
20/6/2016
Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

of Coram,
ers or
orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.269 of 2016

Speaking to minutes of order dated 2.5.2016

Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.S. Wable, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.

2. This is an application dated 15.6.2016 preferred by Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent no.4 for speaking to minutes basically to correct the typographical mistakes in the judgment rendered by this Bench on 2.5.2016 in the above OA.

3. As many as 19 typographical mistakes are pointed out in the list annexed. So far as mistakes in relation to Para 4 page 6 at Sr. No.5 is concerned, we cannot act in accordance with it in speaking to minutes. If there is any other remedy that respondent no.4 seeks to take-recourse to, it will be open to him to do so. Apart from that the corrections pointed out in Sr. Nos.1 to 4 and 6 to 19, we are satisfied that they are typographical errors and the same needs to be corrected. We accordingly direct. And further direct the office to furnish duly corrected copies of the order to the parties without any additional charge. Parties are directed to surrender their copies to the office.

sd/
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
16.6.2016

sd/
(Rajiv Aggarwal)
Vice-Chairman
16.6.2016

L
i)
i) J

Manchekar

Wable (sgj)

Rajpurohit

1403.

Manchekar

Manchekar

sd/

TRUE COPY

Asstt. Registrar/Research Officer
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Mumbai.